

# COURTS IN NAVAJO COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2012-2014 SUMMARY



## LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Enhance Web-based information provided to public about court services, processes, and forms.
- Expand Adult Drug Court Program.
- Work with AOC to restore reporting and collections functionality lost in AJACS transition.
- Implement an electronic case file tracking system using barcodes.
- Continue to digitize historical cases in the clerk's office.
- Expand videoconferencing to more court events and into the Juvenile Probation Department.
- Explore alternative services for credit/debit card processing.

## CY 2010 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented electronic minute entry generation and distribution.
- Implemented Web-based information to provide public information on court services, processes, and forms
- Acquired videoconferencing equipment for additional Superior Court courtroom to conduct remote initial appearances from the jail facility. Increased number of proceedings held remotely.
- Performed case cleanup, data conversion, and implemented new AJACS case management system in superior court.
- Acquired vendor and began performing credit/debit card processing for all courts.

## Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

<b>LJ CMS/Bench Auto</b>	Prefer single system or simultaneous cutover for all courts in county; some functionality concerns exist; will be mid-cycle adopter.
<b>JOLTSaz/SWID</b>	Stakeholder buy-in is critical; desire funding for local implementation efforts; will be a mid-cycle adopter.
<b>LJ EDMS</b>	Interested in disconnected scanning, but not as a pioneer county; will be late adopters.
<b>e-Filing/Std Forms Architecture</b>	Interested in e-filing, but not as a pioneer; will be mid-cycle adopters. Not developing apps locally; casflow and collections data residing in MS-Access is not "production."

<b>TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS</b>					
<b>Project</b>	<b>Year/ Status</b>	<b>Project Detail Provided</b>			<b>Comments</b>
		<b>Full<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Skeletal<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Mention<sup>3</sup></b>	
<b>Electronic File Tracking</b>	>FY15		X		Superior court; AJACS barcode
<b>Justice Court Video Court</b>	FY12		X		Remote court appearance
<b>Improve Telephone Systems</b>	>FY15			X	Snowflake & Pinetop-Lakeside; on hold
<b>Video for Juvenile Probation</b>	FY11		X		Connect court, JPO, detention
<b>Improve Credit/Debit Card Processing</b>	FY12		X		All courts
<b>Digitize Historical Records</b>	FY15		X		Clerk; ongoing
<b>Restore FARE Functionality</b>	FY12		X		Superior Court, AJACS
<b>Statistical Reports</b>	FY12		X		Superior Court, AJACS

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.